

**REMARKS**

This Amendment is filed in response to the Office Action mailed on March 6, 2008. All rejections and objections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 29 – 61 and 63 – 64 are pending in this case.

Claims 45 and 64 have been amended.

**Request for Interview**

The Applicant respectfully requests a telephonic interview with the Examiner after the Examiner has had an opportunity to consider this Amendment, but before the issuance of the next Office Action. The Applicant may be reached at 617-951-2500.

**Claim rejections – 35 U.S.C. §101**

At paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Office Action claims 45 – 60 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant's representative independent claim 45 includes a ***processor*** that determines if there are any misconfiguration settings. Further, Applicant has amended independent claim 45 to recite *a configuration verification computer program **executed by a processor***. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 45 is directed to statutory subject matter and meets all requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101, because the program is executed by hardware, i.e. a processor.

Applicant respectfully notes that claims 46-60 are dependent claims that depend from independent claim 45. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that dependent claims 45-60 are in condition for allowance.

Furthermore, claim 64 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant has amended independent claim 64 to include *A computer readable media executed by a processor*. As such, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 64 is directed to statutory subject matter and meets all requirements of 35 U.S.C. §101, because the computer readable media is executed by hardware, i.e. a processor.

#### **Claim Objections**

At paragraphs 4 of the Office Action claim 62 was objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 29. Applicant has cancelled claim 62 and thus believes the objection is moot.

All independent claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

All dependent claims are dependent from independent claims which are believed to be in condition for allowance. Accordingly, all dependent claims are believed to be in condition for allowance.

PATENTS  
112056-0166  
P01-1726

Please charge any additional fee occasioned by this paper to our Deposit Account  
No. 03-1237.

Respectfully submitted,

/Shannen C. Delaney/  
Shannen C. Delaney  
Reg. No. 51,605  
CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP  
88 Black Falcon Avenue  
Boston, MA 02210-2414  
(617) 951-2500